# The Aseismic Upgrading of the Structures in the "Grande Albergo" Hotel Potenza (Italy) 

# Remarks in the light of the new researches <br> Maurizio Leggeri, M. EERI 

## INTRODUCTION

As you can read in the initial paper (pag.12) the calculation ${ }^{1}$ were carried out in accordance with the Italian code being considered by a static analysis and no account was taken of such favourable factors etc. and again with the unit weights and the total weights per each floor structure determined, together with the corresponding horizontal forces, corrected bye the prescribed storey coefficients, the stresses in the individual rods in each aseismic frame, induced by external and prestressing forces, were computed. These were calculated by solving the force-balance and strain-congruence equations, without any very laborious development : at most a system of three linear equations had to be resolved.
The stress distribution in the aseismic frame was also calculated using the computer (mainframe), the result obtained being in excellent agreement with those got by traditional means.

It was found though that the computer calculations, formulated without taking account of the static scheme symmetry, provided somewhat less approximate results, considering the greater complexity of the processing demanded.
It is an obvious occurrence of the old methods for which the key role was played by the experience and the professionalism of the authors ${ }^{2}$.

At that time the PC (Personal Computer) was not on offer. On the contrary, in the ARCHSTUDIO Office in Potenza (Arch.A.Costabile, Eng.M.Leggeri), a mainframe Hewlett Packard 3000, was available, but with Software of ancient times. Despite the significant efforts [1], the outdated methodology, didn't allow to develop a dynamic analysis of structures ${ }^{3}$. Neither it was possible to evaluate the real PGA's (Peak Ground Accelerations) suffered by the (old) structures during the EQ of November $1980^{4}$ and new ones during the EQ of May 1990.

[^0]
## EFFECTS OF LAST EARTHQUAKES

The earthquake of November 23,1980 that had struck the region was very strong, with Richter Magnitude 6.8 (MMI=8, $\mathrm{MCS}=11)^{5}$, and the epicenter evaluated 43.9 Km from Potenza. We don't have strong motion records for this EQ in Potenza area; therefore we can apply the laws of attenuation for he Southern Appennines (Grandori et alii, 1991 [7]) as well as the correlation between MCS intensity and peak of horizontal acceleration to the ground (PGA) for Southern Italy:
(1) $\operatorname{MCS}($ local $)=-1.338+1.489$ * MCS(epicentral) -1.608 * $\log _{e}($ Distance,Km)
(2) $\log _{10} \mathrm{PGA}=\mathbf{0 . 1 8 0 5}$ * MCS - 2.222 (1) See Book $^{6}$ [13], page 93, 97

So we could evaluate for Potenza a horizontal PGA=0.270, very dangerous for all the existent edifices, which have built without any coverage for the seismic forces ${ }^{7}$.
After the completion of the Aseismic Upgrading, the city Potenza suffered a new quake (May 5, 1990) with Richter Magnitude 5.4 (MMI=6.6, MCS=8), and the epicenter evaluated only 4.9 Km and estimated PGA $\mathbf{= 0 . 1 6 7}$, close to the value of Italian Seismic code for the $2^{\text {nd }}$ category, provided for this area only after EQ of 1980.
The behaviour of the building was excellent, without any damage for the structures.

## DYNAMIC ANALYSIS OF THE STRUCTURES

The Student Giuseppe Rosa, in 1998, developed a Doctoral Theses in Civil Engineering, University of Basilicata, Potenza (Co-Supervisor M.Leggeri), with a full analysis of the structures of "Grande Albergo" Hotel [15].
For this exhaustive approach was used the program SAP-90, with the static scheme shown in Fig. 1, in which the existing shear walls were modelled through diagonal rods.

[^1]

Fig. 1 - Structural scheme
The final results of processing are :

| MODE | EIGENVALUE |
| :---: | :---: |
| NUMBER | $\left(\right.$ RAD / SEC) ${ }^{2}$ |
| 1 | $.107069 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| 2 | $.217516 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| 3 | . $472311 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| 4 | . $124741 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| 5 | . $233674 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| 6 | . $304296 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| 7 | . $385098 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| 8 | . $543808 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| 9 | . $928078 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| 10 | . $958393 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| 11 | . $145305 \mathrm{E}+04$ |
| 12 | . $161079 \mathrm{E}+04$ |
| 13 | . $225455 \mathrm{E}+04$ |
| 14 | . $249450 \mathrm{E}+04$ |
| 15 | . $384475 \mathrm{E}+04$ |
| 16 | . $425128 \mathrm{E}+04$ |
| 17 | . $442049 \mathrm{E}+04$ |
| 18 | . $499577 \mathrm{E}+04$ |
| 19 | . $558057 \mathrm{E}+04$ |
| 20 | . $665850 \mathrm{E}+04$ |
| 21 | . $697824 \mathrm{E}+04$ |
| 22 | . $844148 \mathrm{E}+04$ |
| 23 | . $871269 \mathrm{E}+04$ |
| 24 | . $107391 \mathrm{E}+05$ |
| 25 | . $119426 \mathrm{E}+05$ |
| 26 | . $121410 \mathrm{E}+05$ |
| 27 | $.135036 \mathrm{E}+05$ |

CIRCULAR FREQ
$($ RAD $/$ SEC $)$
$.327214 \mathrm{E}+01$
$.466386 \mathrm{E}+01$
$.687249 \mathrm{E}+01$
$.111688 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.152864 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.174441 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.196239 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.233197 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.304644 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.309579 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.381189 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.401346 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.474821 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.499449 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.620061 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.652019 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.664868 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.706808 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.747032 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.815997 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.835358 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.918775 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.933418 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.103629 \mathrm{E}+03$
$.109282 \mathrm{E}+03$
$.110186 \mathrm{E}+03$
$.116205 \mathrm{E}+03$

CIRCULAR FREQ (RAD/SEC)
$.327214 \mathrm{E}+01$
$.466386 \mathrm{E}+01$
$.152864 \mathrm{E}+02$
. $174441 \mathrm{E}+02$
. $236239 \mathrm{E}+02$
-304644E+02
. $309579 \mathrm{E}+02$
. $381189 \mathrm{E}+02$

- 013462
$.499449 \mathrm{E}+02$
620061E+02
. $664868 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.706808 \mathrm{E}+02$
-747032 +02
. $835358 \mathrm{E}+02$
918775E+02
$.103629 \mathrm{E}+03$
.110186E+03
$.116205 \mathrm{E}+03$

| FREQUENCY <br> (CYCLES/SEC) | PERIOD <br> (SEC) |
| :---: | ---: |
| .520777 | 1.920209 |
| .742277 | 1.347207 |
| 1.093791 | .914252 |
| 1.777563 | .562568 |
| 2.432906 | .411031 |
| 2.776312 | .360190 |
| 3.123243 | .320180 |
| 3.711444 | .269437 |
| 4.848556 | .206247 |
| 4.927108 | .202959 |
| 6.066810 | .164831 |
| 6.387619 | .156553 |
| 7.557008 | .132327 |
| 7.948982 | .125802 |
| 9.868570 | .101332 |
| 10.377201 | .096365 |
| 10.581696 | .094503 |
| 11.249194 | .088895 |
| 11.889382 | .084109 |
| 12.986990 | .077000 |
| 13.295142 | .075215 |
| 14.622764 | .068387 |
| 14.855804 | .067314 |
| 16.493143 | .060631 |
| 17.392799 | .057495 |
| 17.536661 | .057023 |
| 18.494593 | .054070 |


| MODE | X-DIR | Y-DIR | Z-DIR | X-SUM | Y-SUM | Z-SUM |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 33.420 | .104 | 00.000 | 33.420 | .104 | 00.000 |
| 2 | 1.836 | 14.106 | 00.000 | 35.255 | 14.210 | 00.000 |
| 3 | .226 | 39.276 | 00.000 | 35.481 | 53.486 | 00.000 |
| 4 | 15.770 | .087 | 00.000 | 51.252 | 53.573 | 00.000 |
| 5 | 2.664 | 4.437 | 00.000 | 53.916 | 58.010 | 00.000 |
| 6 | .232 | 19.916 | 00.000 | 54.148 | 77.925 | 00.000 |
| 7 | 13.464 | .143 | 00.000 | 67.612 | 78.069 | 00.000 |
| 8 | .342 | .542 | 00.000 | 67.954 | 78.611 | 00.000 |
| 9 | .756 | 2.806 | 00.000 | 68.710 | 81.417 | 00.000 |
| 10 | 4.522 | 1.027 | 00.000 | 73.232 | 82.444 | 00.000 |
| 11 | 4.025 | .032 | 00.000 | 77.257 | 82.476 | 00.000 |
| 12 | 1.600 | .058 | 00.000 | 78.858 | 82.533 | 00.000 |
| 13 | .947 | .001 | 00.000 | 79.805 | 82.534 | 00.000 |
| 14 | .007 | .878 | 00.000 | 79.812 | 83.412 | 00.000 |
| 15 | .491 | .350 | 00.000 | 80.302 | 83.762 | 00.000 |
| 16 | 1.427 | .514 | 00.000 | 81.729 | 84.277 | 00.000 |
| 17 | .004 | .184 | 00.000 | 81.733 | 84.461 | 00.000 |
| 18 | .035 | 5.809 | 00.000 | 81.768 | 90.270 | 00.000 |
| 19 | .244 | 2.781 | 00.000 | 82.012 | 93.051 | 00.000 |
| 20 | 3.039 | .026 | 00.000 | 85.051 | 93.077 | 00.000 |
| 21 | 2.268 | .535 | 00.000 | 87.319 | 93.612 | 00.000 |
| 22 | 6.596 | .325 | 00.000 | 93.916 | 93.936 | 00.000 |
| 23 | .001 | .033 | 00.000 | 93.917 | 93.969 | 00.000 |
| 24 | .010 | .000 | 00.000 | 93.926 | 93.970 | 00.000 |
| 25 | .014 | .501 | 00.000 | 93.940 | 94.471 | 00.000 |
| 26 | 1.144 | .017 | 00.000 | 95.085 | 94.487 | 00.000 |
| 27 | .405 | .346 | 00.000 | 95.490 | 94.833 | 00.000 |

The graphic representations of the first three vibration modes are shown in Fig. 2-34) :


Fig. 2-1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ vibration mode


Fig. 3-2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ vibration mode


Fig. $4-3^{\text {rd }}$ vibration mode

After the upgrade, the structural scheme of the assembled reinforcement is in Fig. 5 :


Fig. 5 - Scheme of the new Structures (Three frames)


Fig. 6 - Insertion of frame 1


Fig. 7 - Insertion of frame 2


Fig. 8 - Insertion of frame 3
The final results of new processing are :

MODE
NUMBER
EIGENVALUE
$\left(\right.$ RAD / SEC) ${ }^{2}$
. $206243 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.423136 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.884938 E+02$
$.201649 \mathrm{E}+03$
$.345416 \mathrm{E}+03$
$.414928 \mathrm{E}+03$
$.497843 \mathrm{E}+03$
$.782107 \mathrm{E}+03$
$.138974 \mathrm{E}+04$
$.156911 \mathrm{E}+04$
$.171243 \mathrm{E}+04$
$.219748 \mathrm{E}+04$
$.274621 \mathrm{E}+04$
$.301141 \mathrm{E}+04$
$.456883 \mathrm{E}+04$
$.475287 \mathrm{E}+04$
$.495005 \mathrm{E}+04$
$.575526 \mathrm{E}+04$
. $678012 \mathrm{E}+04$
$.759950 \mathrm{E}+04$
$.795042 \mathrm{E}+04$
. $915209 \mathrm{E}+04$
$.991453 \mathrm{E}+04$
$.123667 \mathrm{E}+05$
$.127801 \mathrm{E}+05$
$.145832 \mathrm{E}+05$
$.156394 \mathrm{E}+05$

CIRCULAR FREQ
(RAD / SEC)
$.454140 \mathrm{E}+01$
$.650489 \mathrm{E}+01$
$.940711 \mathrm{E}+01$
$.142003 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.185854 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.203698 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.223124 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.279662 \mathrm{E}+02$
. $372792 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.396120 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.413815 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.468772 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.524043 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.548763 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.675931 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.689410 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.703566 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.758634 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.823415 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.871751 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.891651 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.956666 \mathrm{E}+02$
. $995717 \mathrm{E}+02$
$.111206 \mathrm{E}+03$
$.113049 \mathrm{E}+03$
$.120761 \mathrm{E}+03$
$.125057 \mathrm{E}+03$
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { FREQUENCY } & \text { PERIOD } \\ \text { (CYCLES /SEC) } & (\text { SEC })\end{array}$
$.722786 \quad 1.383536$
$1.035285 \quad .965918$
1.497189 .667918
$2.260049 \quad .442468$
$2.957952 \quad .338072$
$3.241951 \quad .308456$
$3.551128 \quad .281601$
$4.450956 \quad .224671$
$5.933176 \quad .168544$
$6.304449 \quad .158618$
$6.586066 \quad .151836$
$7.460745 \quad .134035$
$8.340399 \quad .119898$
$8.733840 \quad .114497$
$10.757775 \quad .092956$
10.972306 .091139
$11.197597 \quad .089305$
$12.074040 \quad .082822$
$13.105052 \quad .076306$
$13.874354 \quad .072075$
$14.191070 \quad .070467$
15.225809 .065678
$15.847337 \quad .063102$
$17.698923 \quad .056501$
$17.992320 \quad .055579$
$\begin{array}{ll}19.219701 & .052030 \\ 19.903515 & .050242\end{array}$

| MODE | X-DIR | Y-DIR | Z-DIR | X-SUM | Y-SUM | Z-SUM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 29.122 | . 001 | 00.000 | 29.122 | . 001 | 00.000 |
| 2 | . 733 | 4.628 | 00.000 | 29.855 | 4.629 | 00.000 |
| 3 | . 112 | 43.187 | 00.000 | 29.967 | 47.816 | 00.000 |
| 4 | 30.369 | . 191 | 00.000 | 60.336 | 48.007 | 00.000 |
| 5 | . 267 | 5.710 | 00.000 | 60.603 | 53.716 | 00.000 |
| 6 | . 643 | 24.922 | 00.000 | 61.246 | 78.638 | 00.000 |
| 7 | 8.586 | . 428 | 00.000 | 69.832 | 79.066 | 00.000 |
| 8 | . 010 | . 556 | 00.000 | 69.842 | 79.622 | 00.000 |
| 9 | . 627 | . 045 | 00.000 | 70.468 | 79.667 | 00.000 |
| 10 | 1.089 | 2.483 | 00.000 | 71.557 | 82.150 | 00.000 |
| 11 | 6.139 | . 037 | 00.000 | 77.696 | 82.187 | 00.000 |
| 12 | . 359 | 1.994 | 00.000 | 78.056 | 84.180 | 00.000 |
| 13 | 4.381 | . 157 | 00.000 | 82.437 | 84.337 | 00.000 |
| 14 | . 335 | 2.645 | 00.000 | 82.773 | 86.983 | 00.000 |
| 15 | . 123 | 1.633 | 00.000 | 82.896 | 88.616 | 00.000 |
| 16 | . 493 | 1.159 | 00.000 | 83.389 | 89.775 | 00.000 |
| 17 | 1.151 | . 105 | 00.000 | 84.540 | 89.880 | 00.000 |
| 18 | . 057 | . 000 | 00.000 | 84.597 | 89.880 | 00.000 |
| 19 | . 202 | 1.819 | 00.000 | 84.800 | 91.700 | 00.000 |
| 20 | . 137 | 2.901 | 00.000 | 84.937 | 94.600 | 00.000 |
| 21 | 3.221 | . 015 | 00.000 | 88.158 | 94.615 | 00.000 |
| 22 | . 249 | . 019 | 00.000 | 88.407 | 94.634 | 00.000 |
| 23 | 5.522 | . 111 | 00.000 | 93.929 | 94.745 | 00.000 |
| 24 | . 728 | . 038 | 00.000 | 94.657 | 94.783 | 00.000 |
| 25 | . 305 | . 546 | 00.000 | 94.962 | 95.329 | 00.000 |
| 26 | . 581 | . 603 | 00.000 | 95.543 | 95.932 | 00.000 |
| 27 | . 000 | . 667 | 00.000 | 95.543 | 96.599 | 00.000 |




Fig. $9-\mathbf{- ~}^{\text {rd }}$ vibration mode (After Upgrade)


Fig. 10-- $1^{\text {st }}$ vibration mode (After Upgrade)

## CONCLUSIONS

The dynamic analysis shows the different behaviour of the tower after the upgrade : the height of the deformable structure starts at the top of new frames.
In addition, an effective test was offered by the earthquake of May 1990, without any damage, whereas in other buildings of the town the collapses were numerous.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The calculation began in the year 1982
    ${ }^{2}$ M.Leggeri was the youngest in the group, even so, at that time were elapsed 25 years since his Doctorate in Civil Engineering.
    ${ }^{3}$ New targets for software [2], [3], [4] were obtained some year after.
    ${ }^{4}$ It was applied the Italian Code after the (late) introduction of Potenza area in the official seismic zones.

[^1]:    ${ }^{5}$ In the historical catalog of EQs, available in Italy, the events are reported in MCS scale (Mercalli, Cancani, Sieberg).
    ${ }^{6}$ It is possible to consult the book (written in Italian Language), at the EERC Library, NISEE, Richmond, Ca.
    ${ }^{7}$ Any local amplifications due to Soil-Structure Interaction is excluded, because the bed-rock of Potenza is composed of over consolidated Pleistocene clay.

